

Quantizations in char p , Lecture 8

Topic: quantizations of symmetric powers & Hilbert schemes

Goal: construct a filtered Frobenius constant quantization of $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{F}^2)$, $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}$, $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0$ w. global sections $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n}$.

Later we'll use this to construct Procesi bundle via splitting bundle construction

1) Quantizations of symmetric powers

Setting: $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{C}^n$, $W = S_n \curvearrowright \mathcal{Y}$, $V = \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y}^* \leadsto Y = V/S_n$

$U = \mathbb{C}^n \curvearrowright G = GL(U) \leadsto G \curvearrowright R := \text{End}(U) \oplus U \leadsto$

$T^*R = \text{End}(U)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U \oplus U^*$

$\mu: T^*R \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \text{End}(U): \mu(A, B, i, j) = [A, B] + ij$

Have seen: $V \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0), (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto$

$(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_n), 0, 0)$

$\leadsto V/S_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu^{-1}(0)/G$

Goal: construct filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[Y] = \mathbb{C}[V]^{S_n}$

• Easy: $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})^{S_n}$

• Hamiltonian reduction: $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \leadsto$

$\mathcal{D}(R) //_{\lambda} G = (\mathcal{D}(R) / \mathcal{D}(R) \{ \xi_{\mathbb{F}} - \lambda \text{tr}(\xi) \})^G$ is a filt. quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G$ b/c μ^* sends a basis of \mathfrak{g} to a reg. sequence in $\mathbb{C}[T^*R]$ ($\Leftrightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)$ is a complete intersection in T^*R) \Rightarrow
 $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}(R) / \mathcal{D}(R) \{ \xi_{\mathbb{F}} - \lambda \text{tr}(\xi) \} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$

1.1) Main result.

Thm: $\mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G \simeq \mathcal{D}(k)^{S_n}$, iso of filt. quant'n of $\mathbb{C}[V]^{S_n}$.

Sketch of proof: In Lec 1, we've seen $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) \llbracket_0 G \simeq \mathcal{D}(k)^{S_n}$.

i) Construction of homomorphism:

$\mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G \cap \mathbb{C}[R]^G = [\mathbb{C}^x = \text{center of } G \text{ acts by 0 on } \mathfrak{g} \text{ \& scaling on } U] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[k]^W \cap \mathcal{D}(k)^W$.

Observe that have alg. homom's $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G$ & $\mathbb{C}[k]^W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(k)^W$. Via any of these $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[k]^W$ acts on it itself by multiplications.

Invariant orthog. form on $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \in S(\mathfrak{g})^G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G$

— — — — — $\rightsquigarrow \Delta_k \in S(k)^W \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(k)^W$

$S^{-1} \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} S$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[k]^W$ as Δ_k , S is Vandermonde.

Claim: the actions of $\mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G$ & $\mathcal{D}(k)^W$ on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[k]^W$ by the same operators after conjugating the latter by S .

Exercise: Show that $\mathbb{C}[k]^W$ & Δ_k generate $\mathbb{C}[V]^{S_n}$ as a Poisson algebra (hint: use Weyl's thm on generators of the latter)

Consequence: gr $\mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G$, gr $\mathcal{D}(k)^W \simeq \mathbb{C}[V]^{S_n}$ as graded Poisson algebras. Exercise $\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}[k]^W, \Delta_k$ generate $\mathbb{C}[V]^{S_n}$ & $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ generate $\mathcal{D}(R) \llbracket_0 G$.

Exercise: $\mathcal{D}(Y)^{S_n} \cap \mathbb{C}[Y]^{S_n}$ is faithful

$\leadsto \mathcal{D}(R) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Y)^{S_n}$ (b/c algebras act by the same operators).

ii) Need to show $\mathcal{D}(R) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Y)^W$ is a filtered algebra isomorphism. One can check that this preserves filtration & on gr's, it's pullback homom'm $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]^{S_n}$. The latter is an isomorphism, so $\mathcal{D}(R) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Y)^W$ \square

1.2) Remarks:

1*) One can ask to generalize the theorem to arbitrary λ :

$\mathcal{D}(R) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_\lambda \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} eH_\lambda e$, spherical rational Cherednik algebra.

2) Have char $p \gg 0$ version of Thm: $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}$, char $\mathbb{F} = p \gg 0 \leadsto$

(I) $\mathcal{D}(R_{\mathbb{F}}) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is quant'n of $\mathbb{F}[V]^{S_n}$

(II) $\mathcal{D}(R_{\mathbb{F}}) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n}$

Check: reduction from char 0:

(III) $\mathbb{F}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[V]^{S_n}$

• $\mathbb{C} \leadsto \mathbb{Q}$: possible b/c everything is defined over \mathbb{Q} , so analogs of (I) - (III) hold.

• $\mathbb{Q} \leadsto$ finite localization of \mathbb{Z} : possible b/c all algebras in question are finitely generated: $S = \text{a finite loc'n of } \mathbb{Z}$.

(II) becomes $\mathcal{D}(R_S) //_0 G_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Y_S)^{S_n}$

• $S \rightsquigarrow F$, F is an S -algebra so can $F \otimes_S \cdot$.

$$F[V]^{S_n} \xleftarrow{\sim} F \otimes_S S[V]^{S_n}, \quad \mathcal{D}(Y_F)^{S_n} \xleftarrow{\sim} F \otimes_S \mathcal{D}(Y_S)^{S_n}$$

$$F[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G_F} \xleftarrow{\sim} F \otimes_S S[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G_S}$$

↑ invariants mod p ↑ reductions mod p of invariants

It's an isomorphism:

$$F[V]^{S_n} \xrightarrow{\sim} F \otimes_S S[V]^{S_n} \xrightarrow{\sim} F \otimes_S S[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G_S} \hookrightarrow F[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G_F}$$

$V_F \hookrightarrow \mu_F^{-1}(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} F[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G_F} \rightarrow F[V]^{S_n}$, every closed G -orbit in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ intersects the image of V , *exercise*, the latter

homom'm is injective. But all our homomorphisms are bigraded

The bigraded comp's are finite dimensional so the existence of a pair of monomorphisms implies both of them are isom'ms.

This establishes (III) (over F)

Exercise: prove (I) & (II) (note $\text{gr}[\mathcal{D}(R_F)/\mathcal{D}(R_F)\{F_p\}] \xrightarrow{\sim} F[\mu^{-1}(0)]$).

2) Quantizations of Hilbert schemes.

2.1) Preliminaries. $X = \mu^{-1}(0) //^\theta G$, $\theta = \det^{-1}$

$\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss} = \{(A, B, i, 0) \mid [A, B] = 0, \mathbb{C}[A, B]_i = \mathcal{U}\}$ - principal G -bundle over X .

Char $p \gg 0$ story: $\mu_F^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss} = \{(A, B, i, 0) \mid [A, B] = 0, F[A, B]_i = \mathcal{U}_F\}$

\supset : same argument as in Lec 7.

\subset : easy part of Hilbert-Mumford criterion.

$\mu_F^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss} \rightarrow X_F$, a principal G_F -bundle.

We'll define a quantization of X_F by quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Recall commut. diagram from Lec 5:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S(\sigma_F^{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{F}[T^*R^{(n)}] & \varphi(\xi) = \xi_{R^{(n)}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \mathcal{U}(\sigma_F) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{D}(R_F) & \varphi(\xi) = \xi_R^P - \xi_R^{[P]} \end{array}$$

2.2) Construction of reduction:

View $\mathcal{D}(R_F)$ as a sheaf on $T^*R^{(n)} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta-ss}$: restr'n of $\mathcal{D}(R_F)$ to $T^*R^{(n)\theta-ss} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(R_F)|_{(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}}$ - coherent sheaf of algebras on $(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}$

$$G_F \curvearrowright \mathcal{D}(R_F)|_{(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}}$$

consider $G_1 := \text{Ker}[G_F \rightarrow G_F^{(n)}]$ - finite group scheme w. single pt.

$$G_1 \curvearrowright \mathcal{D}(R_F)|_{(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}} \text{ by } \mathbb{Q}\text{-linear automorphisms.}$$

$\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R_F)|_{(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}}$ is quantum comoment map for G_1 -action \rightsquigarrow coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}}$ -algebras

$$(\mathcal{D}(R_F)|_{(\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}}) //_0 G_1 = (\mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta-ss} / \mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta-ss} \{ \xi_R \})^{G_1}$$

$$G_F^{(n)}\text{-equivariant; } (\mu^{(n)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss} \longrightarrow X_F^{(n)}, \text{ principal } G_F^{(n)}\text{-bundle}$$

By definition, $\mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta-ss} //_0 G_1$ is the $G_F^{(n)}$ -equiv. descent of

$(\mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta\text{-ss}} / \mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \{ \hat{\mathcal{R}} \})^{G_1}$, sheet of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}}$ -algebras.

2.3) Frobenius constant quantization:

Claim: $\mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}$ is a filtered Frobenius constant quantization of $X_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Proof: Need to show:

(i) $\mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}$ is a filtered quantization

(ii) $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{F}}}$ intertwines embeddings from $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}}$.

Notation: $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hbar}$ - completed Rees constr'n

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hbar} (\mathcal{D}(R)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hbar} \mathcal{D}(R)]^{\theta\text{-ss}} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}$$

want to check: formal quantization of $X_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Recall (bonus to Lec 1) if U is an affine symplectic variety w.

Hamiltonian G -action s.t. $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is a princ. G -bundle over $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$

& \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} is a formal quant'n of $\mathbb{F}[U]$, w. Hamilt. G -action, then

$\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}$ is a formal quant'n of $\mathbb{F}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G_1}$.

Exercise: • Deduce (i) from this reminder.

• Deduce (ii) from (1).

2.4) Identification of global sections.

Prop'n: Have a filtered algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_{\hbar}} = \mathcal{D}(R_{\mathbb{F}}) \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R_{\mathbb{F}})^{\theta\text{-ss}} \parallel\!\!\! \parallel_0 \mathcal{G})$$

Proof: Step 1: construct a homomorphism of filtered algebras.

$\mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta\text{-ss}} //_{\circ} G_F$ obtained from gluing the algebras $\mathcal{D}(R_F)|_U //_{\circ} G_F$, where U is a G_F -stable open affine subvariety in $(T^*R^{(1)})^{\theta\text{-ss}}$. Notice that Hamiltonian reduction is functorial: $\mathcal{D}(R_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R_F)|_U$ (restriction), G_F -equivariant & intertwines quantum comoment maps $\leadsto \mathcal{D}(R_F) //_{\circ} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R_F)|_U //_{\circ} G_F \leadsto \mathcal{D}(R_F) //_{\circ} G \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta\text{-ss}} //_{\circ} G_F)$, of filt. algebras.

Step 2: Show that it's an isomorphism. Similarly, have a graded algebra homom'm $\mathbb{F}[\mu_F^{-1}(0)]^{G_F} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \mathbb{F}[\mu_F^{-1}(0) //^{\theta} G_F]$, it's ρ^* where $\rho: \mu_F^{-1}(0) //^{\theta} G_F \rightarrow \mu_F^{-1}(0) // G_F$. In our case ρ is an isomorphism.

Compatibility: have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{gr } \mathcal{D}(R_F) //_{\circ} G_F & \xrightarrow{\text{gr } \psi} & \text{gr } \Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta\text{-ss}} //_{\circ} G_F) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathbb{F}[\gamma] & \xrightarrow[\psi_0]{\sim} & \mathbb{F}[x]
 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{gr } \psi$ is an isomorphism $\Rightarrow \psi$ is isomorphism

□