Center Manifolds and Hamiltonian Evolution Equations

J. Krieger (EPF Lausanne) K. Nakanishi (Kyoto University) W. S. (University of Chicago)

Zürich Video seminar, December 2010

- Equations: focusing nonlinear Klein-Gordon, Schrödinger, critical wave
- Review of local well-posedness theory, global existence vs. finite-time blowup. Forward scattering set S_+
- Stationary solutions, ground states, variational analysis
- \bullet Some questions about $\mathcal{S}_+,$ and some answer
- Payne-Sattinger theory: global dynamics below the ground state energy, functionals J and K.
- Raising the bar: energies above the ground state energy.
- Stable, Unstable, Center manifolds
- Hyperbolic dynamics, ejection lemma
- One-pass theorem, absence of almost homoclinic orbits
- Conclusion

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

Energy subcritical equations:

$$\Box u + u = |u|^{p-1}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{1+1}_{t,x}(\text{even}), \mathbb{R}^{1+3}_{t,x}$$
$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = |u|^2 u \text{ in radial } \mathbb{R}^{1+3}_{t,x}$$

Energy critical case:

$$\Box u = |u|^{2^* - 2} u \quad \text{in radial} \quad \mathbb{R}^{1+d}_{t,x} \tag{1}$$

d = 3, 5.

Goals: Describe transition between blowup/global existence and scattering, "Soliton resolution conjecture". Results apply only to the case where the energy is at most slightly larger than the energy of the "ground state soliton".

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

Basic well-posedness, focusing cubic NLKG in \mathbb{R}^3

 $\forall u[0] \in \mathcal{H}$ there $\exists !$ strong solution $u \in C([0, T); H^1)$, $\dot{u} \in C^1([0, T); L^2)$ for some $T \geq T_0(||u[0]||_{\mathcal{H}}) > 0$. Properties: continuous dependence on data; persistence of regularity; energy conservation:

$$E(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |u|^4 \right) dx$$

If $||u[0]||_{\mathcal{H}} \ll 1$, then global existence; let $T^* > 0$ be maximal forward time of existence: $T^* < \infty \Longrightarrow ||u||_{L^3([0, T^*), L^6(\mathbb{R}^3))} = \infty$. If $T^* = \infty$ and $||u||_{L^3([0, T^*), L^6(\mathbb{R}^3))} < \infty$, then *u* scatters: $\exists (\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1) \in \mathcal{H}$ s.t. for $v(t) = S_0(t)(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1)$ one has

$$(u(t),\dot{u}(t))=(v(t),\dot{v}(t))+o_{\mathcal{H}}(1) \quad t
ightarrow\infty$$

 $S_0(t)$ free KG evol. If *u* scatters, then $||u||_{L^3([0,\infty),L^6(\mathbb{R}^3))} < \infty$. Finite prop.-speed: if $\vec{u} = 0$ on $\{|x - x_0| < R\}$, then u(t, x) = 0 on $\{|x - x_0| < R - t, 0 < t < \min(T^*, R)\}$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Finite time blowup, forward scattering set

T > 0, exact solution to cubic NLKG

$$arphi_{\mathcal{T}}(t)\sim c(\mathcal{T}-t)^{-lpha}$$
 as $t o \mathcal{T}_+$

 $\alpha = 1$, $c = \sqrt{2}$.

Use finite prop-speed to cut off smoothly to neighborhood of cone |x| < T - t. Gives smooth solution to NLKG, blows up at t = T or before.

Small data: global existence and scattering. **Large data:** can have finite time blowup.

Is there a criterion to decide finite time blowup/global existence? Forward scattering set: S(t) = nonlinear evolution

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_+ &:= \Big\{ (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H} := H^1 \times L^2 \mid u(t) := S(t)(u_0, u_1) \exists \; \forall \; \text{times} \\ \text{and scatters to zero, i.e.,} \quad \|u\|_{L^3([0,\infty);L^6)} < \infty \Big\} \end{split}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

\mathcal{S}_+ satisfies the following properties:

- $\mathcal{S}_+ \supset B_\delta(0)$, a small ball in \mathcal{H}_{ϵ}
- $\mathcal{S}_+
 eq \mathcal{H}$,
- \mathcal{S}_+ is an open set in $\mathcal{H}_{,}$
- \mathcal{S}_+ is path-connected.

Some natural questions:

- **1** Is \mathcal{S}_+ bounded in \mathcal{H} ?
- 2 Is ∂S_+ a smooth manifold or rough?
- **③** If ∂S_+ is a smooth mfld, does it separate regions of FTB/GE?
- **9** Dynamics starting from ∂S_+ ? Any special solutions on ∂S_+ ?

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Stationary solutions, ground state

Stationary solution $u(t,x) = \varphi(x)$ of NLKG, weak solution of

$$-\Delta\varphi + \varphi = \varphi^3 \tag{2}$$

Minimization problem

$$\inf\left\{\|\varphi\|_{H^1}^2 \mid \varphi \in H^1, \ \|\varphi\|_4 = 1\right\}$$

has radial solution $\varphi_{\infty} > 0$, decays exponentially, $\varphi = \lambda \varphi_{\infty}$ satisfies (2) for some $\lambda > 0$. Coffman: **unique ground state** Q. *Minimizes the stationary energy (or action)*

$$J(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(rac{1}{2} |
abla arphi|^2 + rac{1}{2} |arphi|^2 - rac{1}{4} |arphi|^4
ight) dx$$

amongst all nonzero solutions of (2). Dilation functional:

$$\mathcal{K}_0(arphi) = \langle J'(arphi) | arphi
angle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|
abla arphi|^2 + |arphi|^2 - |arphi|^4)(x) \, dx$$

伺 とう ヨン うちょう

Some answers

Theorem

Let $E(u_0, u_1) < E(Q, 0) + \varepsilon^2$, $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{rad}}$. In $t \ge 0$ for NLKG:

- finite time blowup
- **2** global existence and scattering to 0
- **③** global existence and scattering to Q: $u(t) = Q + v(t) + O_{H^1}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$, and $\dot{u}(t) = \dot{v}(t) + O_{L^2}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$, $\Box v + v = 0$, $(v, \dot{v}) \in \mathcal{H}$.

All 9 combinations of this trichotomy allowed as $t \to \pm \infty$.

- Applies to dim = 3, cubic power, or dim = 1, all p > 5.
- Under energy assumption (EA) ∂S₊ is connected, smooth mfld, which gives (3), separating regions (1) and (2). ∂S₊ contains (±Q,0). ∂S₊ forms the center stable manifold associated with (±Q,0).
- ∃ 1-dimensional stable, unstable mflds at (±Q,0). Stable mfld: Duyckaerts-Merle, Duyckaerts-Holmer-Roudenko

Hyperbolic dynamics

 $\dot{x} = Ax + f(x), f(0) = 0, Df(0) = 0, \mathbb{R}^n = X_s + X_u + X_c,$ *A*-invariant spaces, $A \upharpoonright X_s$ has evals in $\operatorname{Re} z < 0, A \upharpoonright X_u$ has evals in $\operatorname{Re} z > 0, A \upharpoonright X_c$ has evals in $i\mathbb{R}$.

If $X_c = \{0\}$, Hartmann-Grobman theorem: conjugation to e^{tA} .

If $X_c \neq \{0\}$, **Center Manifold Theorem:** \exists local invariant mflds around x = 0, tangent to X_u, X_s, X_c .

$$\begin{split} X_s &= \{ |x_0| < \varepsilon \mid x(t) \to 0 \;\; \text{exponentially fast as} \;\; t \to \infty \} \\ X_u &= \{ |x_0| < \varepsilon \mid x(t) \to 0 \;\; \text{exponentially fast as} \;\; t \to -\infty \} \end{split}$$

Example:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + O(|x|^2)$$

 $\operatorname{spec}(A) = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Hyperbolic dynamics near $\pm Q$

Linearized operator $L_+ = -\Delta + 1 - 3Q^2$.

•
$$\langle L_+ Q | Q \rangle = -2 \| Q \|_4^4 < 0$$

- $L_+\rho = -k^2\rho$ unique negative eigenvalue, no kernel over radial functions
- Gap property: L₊ has no eigenvalues in (0,1], no threshold resonance (delicate!)

Plug u = Q + v into cubic NLKG:

$$\ddot{v}+L_+v=N(Q,v)=3Qv^2+v^3$$

Rewrite as a Hamiltonian system:

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -L_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ N(Q, v) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then spec(A) = $\{k, -k\} \cup i[1, \infty) \cup i(-\infty, -1]$ with $\pm k$ simple evals. Formally: $X_s = P_1 L^2$, $X_u = P_{-1} L^2$. X_c is the rest.

The invariant manifolds



Figure: Stable, unstable, center-stable manifolds

- < ∃ >

Variational properties of ground state Q

Variational characterization

$$J(Q) = \inf\{J(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in H^1 \setminus \{0\}, \ K_0(\varphi) = 0\}$$

= $\inf\{J(\varphi) - \frac{1}{4}K_0(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in H^1 \setminus \{0\}, \ K_0(\varphi) \le 0\}$ (3)

Note: if minimizer $\exists \varphi_{\infty} \ge 0$ (radial), then Euler-Lagrange: $J'(\varphi_{\infty}) = \lambda K'_0(\varphi_{\infty}), \ K_0(\varphi_{\infty}) = 0.$ So

$$0 = \mathcal{K}_0(arphi_\infty) = \langle J'(arphi_\infty) | arphi_\infty
angle = \lambda \langle \mathcal{K}_0'(arphi_\infty) | arphi_\infty
angle = -2\lambda \| arphi_\infty \|_4^4$$

$$\lambda = 0 \Longrightarrow J'(\varphi_{\infty}) = 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_{\infty} = Q.$$

- Energy near $\pm Q$ a "saddle surface": $x^2 y^2 \le 0$
- Better analogy $q(\xi) = -\xi_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i^2$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_0^+)$, "needle like"
- Similar picture for E(u, u) < J(Q). Solution trapped by K ≥ 0, K < 0 in that set.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

Schematic depiction of J, K_0



Figure: The splitting of J(u) < J(Q) by the sign of $K = K_0$

- Energy near $\pm Q$ a "saddle surface": $x^2 y^2 \le 0$
- Better analogy $q(\xi) = -\xi_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \xi_j^2$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_0^+)$, "needle like"
- Similar picture for E(u, u) < J(Q). Solution trapped by K ≥ 0, K < 0 in that set.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Payne-Sattinger theory I

 $j_{\varphi}(\lambda) := J(e^{\lambda}\varphi), \ \varphi \neq 0$ fixed.



Figure: Payne-Sattinger well

Normalize so that $\lambda_* = 0$. Then $\partial_\lambda j_{\varphi}(\lambda) \big|_{\lambda = \lambda_*} = K_0(\varphi) = 0$. "Trap" the solution in the well on the left-hand side: need $E < \inf\{j_{\varphi}(0) \mid K_0(\varphi) = 0, \varphi \neq 0\} = J(Q)$ (lowest mountain pass). Expect global existence in that case.

Payne-Sattinger II

Invariant decomposition of E < J(Q):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PS}_+ &:= \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H} \mid E(u_0, u_1) < J(Q), \ K_0(u_0) \ge 0 \} \\ \mathcal{PS}_- &:= \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H} \mid E(u_0, u_1) < J(Q), \ K_0(u_0) < 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

In \mathcal{PS}_+ global existence in \mathbb{R} : $\mathcal{K}_0(u(t)) \ge 0$ implies

$$\|u(t)\|_{4}^{4} \leq \|u(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \Longrightarrow E \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\|\dot{u}(t)\|_{2}^{2} \simeq E$$

In \mathcal{PS}_{-} finite time blowup in **both** positive and negative times. Convexity argument: $y(t) := ||u(t)||_{L^2}^2$ satisfies $K_0(u(t)) < -\delta$,

$$\begin{split} \ddot{y} &= 2[\|\dot{u}\|_{2}^{2} - K_{0}(u(t))] \\ &= 6\|\dot{u}\|_{2}^{2} - 8E(u,\dot{u}) + 2\|u\|_{H}^{2} \\ \partial_{tt}(y^{-\frac{1}{2}}) &= -\frac{1}{2}y^{-\frac{5}{2}}[y\ddot{y} - \frac{3}{2}\dot{y}^{2}] < 0 \end{split}$$

So finite time blowup.

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

Payne-Sattinger III

Corollary:
$$Q$$
 unstable.
 $v_j = \lambda_j \rho + w_j, j = 0, 1, w_j \perp \rho, \omega = \sqrt{L_+ P_{\rho}^{\perp}}$

$$\begin{split} E(Q + v_0, v_1) &= J(Q) + \frac{1}{2} (\langle L_+ v_0 | v_0 \rangle + \| v_1 \|_2^2) + O(\| v_0 \|_{H^1}^3) \\ &= J(Q) + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1^2 - k^2 \lambda_0^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\| \omega w_0 \|_2^2 + \| w_1 \|_2^2) + O(\| v_0 \|_{H^1}^3) \\ K_0(Q + v_0) &= -2 \langle Q^3 | v_0 \rangle + O(\| v_0 \|_{H^1}^2) \end{split}$$

Specialize: $v_0 = \varepsilon \rho$, $v_1 = 0$:

$$\begin{split} E(Q+v_0,0) &= J(Q) - \frac{k^2}{2}\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3) < J(Q) \\ K_0(Q+v_0) &= -2\varepsilon \langle Q^3 | \rho \rangle + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{split}$$

So sign(K_0) determined by sign(ε).

- 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4

Numerical 2-dim section through ∂S_+ (with R. Donninger)



Figure:
$$(Q + Ae^{-r^2}, Be^{-r^2})$$

- soliton at (A, B) = (0, 0), (A, B) vary in $[-9, 2] \times [-9, 9]$
- **RED**: global existence, WHITE: finite time blowup, GREEN: \mathcal{PS}_- , BLUE: \mathcal{PS}_+
- Our results apply to a neighborhood of (Q, 0), boundary of the red region looks smooth (caution!)

Beyond J(Q), center-stable manifold (radial)

Solve NLKG with
$$u = \pm (Q + v) \rightarrow \ddot{v} + L_+ v = N(Q, v) \rightarrow$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_{+} - k\lambda_{+} = \frac{1}{2k}N_{
ho}(Q, v)$$
 (4)

$$\dot{\lambda}_{-} + k\lambda_{-} = -\frac{1}{2k}N_{\rho}(Q, \nu)$$
(5)

$$\ddot{\gamma} + L_{+}\gamma = P_{\rho}^{\perp}N(Q, v)$$
(6)

 $P_{\rho}N(Q, v) = N_{\rho}(Q, v)\rho$, $v = \lambda\rho + \gamma$. ODE $\ddot{\lambda} - k^{2}\lambda = N_{\rho}(Q, v)$ is diagonalized by

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \pm k^{-1} \dot{\lambda})$$

(4) corresponds to eval k of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -L_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; (5) eval -k; (6) to essential spectrum $iR \setminus (-i, i)$ of A. "Stabilize" exponential growth in (4): if $N_{\rho} \equiv 0$, means $\lambda_{+}(0) = 0$. In general:

Stability condition:

$$0 = \lambda_{+}(0) + \frac{1}{2k} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sk} N_{\rho}(Q, v)(s) \, ds \tag{7}$$

yields (recall $v = \lambda \rho + \gamma$)

$$\lambda(t) = e^{-kt} \left[\lambda(0) + \frac{1}{2k} \int_0^\infty e^{-ks} N_\rho(s) \, ds \right] + \frac{1}{2k} \int_0^\infty e^{-k|t-s|} N_\rho(s) \, ds$$
$$\ddot{\gamma} + L_+ \gamma = P_\rho^\perp N$$

Solve via Strichartz estimates for $\partial_{tt} + L_+$. Conclusion: $\exists \mathcal{M} \ni (\pm Q, 0)$ small smooth, codim 1 mfld, $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow$ $u = Q + v + o_{H^1}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$, v free KG wave, \mathcal{M} parametrized by $(\lambda(0), \gamma_{\infty}(0))$, where γ_{∞} is the scattering solution of γ . *Energy partition:* $E(u, \dot{u}) = J(Q) + E_0(\gamma_{\infty}, \dot{\gamma}_{\infty})$ \mathcal{M} unique: if u $\exists \forall t \ge 0$, dist $((u, \dot{u}), (\pm Q, 0))$ small $\forall t \ge 0$, $\Rightarrow (u, \dot{u}) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Stable and unstable manifolds

If $(u, \dot{u}) \rightarrow (Q, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $E(\vec{u}) = J(Q) \Rightarrow \gamma_{\infty} \equiv 0$. So \vec{u} parametrized by $\lambda(0)$. *Three cases:* $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda \equiv 0$, $\lambda < 0$. Main (λ, γ) -system $\Rightarrow \lambda(t)$ decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Duyckaerts-Merle type solutions: $W_{\pm}(t - t_0)$. as $t \rightarrow -I$, W_{+} blows up in finite time, W_{-} scatters to 0. *Remark:* Construction more involved in the presence of symmetries (non-radial NLKG, radial or nonradial NLS). **Beceanu's linear estimates:** $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$ matrix NLS Hamiltonian, $Z = P_c Z$,

$$\mathcal{H} = egin{pmatrix} \Delta - \mu & 0 \ 0 & -\Delta + \mu \end{pmatrix} + egin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \ -W_2 & W_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $i\partial_t Z - iv(t)\nabla Z + A(t)\sigma_3 Z + \mathcal{H}Z = F, Z(0)$ given,

 $||A||_{\infty} + ||v||_{\infty} < \epsilon$, no eigenvalues or resonances of \mathcal{H} in $(-\infty, -\mu] \cup [\mu, \infty)$. Then

$$\|Z\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}L^{2}_{x}\cap L^{2}_{t}L^{6,2}_{x}} \leq C\Big(\|Z(0)\|_{2} + \|F\|_{L^{1}_{t}L^{2}_{x}+L^{2}_{t}L^{6/5,2}_{x}}\Big)$$

Unstable dynamics off the center-stable mfld ${\cal M}$

 \mathcal{M} is **repulsive** (restatement of uniqueness of \mathcal{M}). **Goal:** Stabilize $\operatorname{sign}(K_0(u(t))), \operatorname{sign}(K_2(u(t)))$. Virial functional: $K_2(u) = \langle J'(u) | Au \rangle = \partial_\lambda |_{\lambda=0} J(e^{\frac{3\lambda}{2}}u(e^{\lambda} \cdot)), A = \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x),$



Figure: Sign of $K = K_0$ upon exit

"Stabilize": u(t) defined on $[0, T_*)$, then $\operatorname{sign}(K(u(t)) \ge 0$ or < 0on (T_{**}, T_*) .

Ejection of trajectories along unstable mode

Lemma (Ejection Lemma)

 $\exists 0 < \delta_X \ll 1 \text{ s.t.: } u(t) \text{ local solution of NLKG3 on } [0, T] \text{ with}$

 $R := d_Q(\vec{u}(0)) \le \delta_X, \quad E(\vec{u}) < J(Q) + R^2/2$

and for some $t_0 \in (0, T)$, one has the ejection condition:

$$d_Q(\vec{u}(t)) \ge R \quad (0 < \forall t < t_0). \tag{8}$$

Then $d_Q(\vec{u}(t)) \nearrow$ until it hits δ_X , and

$$egin{aligned} &d_Q(ec u(t))\simeq -\mathfrak{s}\lambda(t)\simeq -\mathfrak{s}\lambda_+(t)\simeq e^{kt}R, \ &|\lambda_-(t)|+\|ec \gamma(t)\|_E\lesssim R+d_Q^2(ec u(t)), \ &\min_{s=0,2}\mathfrak{s}\mathcal{K}_s(u(t))\gtrsim d_Q(ec u(t))-C_*d_Q(ec u(0)) \end{aligned}$$

for either $\mathfrak{s} = +1$ or $\mathfrak{s} = -1$.

э

Variational structure above J(Q) (Noneffective!)



Figure: Signs of $K = K_0$ away from $(\pm Q, 0)$

 $\forall \delta > 0 \ \exists \varepsilon_0(\delta), \kappa_0, \kappa_1(\delta) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall \vec{u} \in \mathcal{H} \text{ with} \\ E(\vec{u}) < J(Q) + \varepsilon_0(\delta)^2, \quad d_Q(\vec{u}) \ge \delta, \text{ one has following dichotomy:} \\ K_0(u) \le -\kappa_1(\delta) \text{ and } K_2(u) \le -\kappa_1(\delta), \text{ or} \\ K_0(u) \ge \min(\kappa_1(\delta), \kappa_0 \|u\|_{H^1}^2) \text{ and } K_2(u) \ge \min(\kappa_1(\delta), \kappa_0 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2).$

One-pass theorem I

Crucial no-return property: Trajectory does **not return to balls around** $(\pm Q, 0)$. Suppose it did; Use *virial identity*

$$\partial_t \langle w \dot{u} | A u \rangle = -K_2(u(t)) + \text{error}, \quad A = \frac{1}{2}(x \nabla + \nabla x)$$
 (9)

where w = w(t, x) is a space-time cutoff that lives on a rhombus, and the "error" is controlled by the external energy.



Figure: Space-time cutoff for the virial identity and the second se

Center Manifolds and Hamiltonian Evolution Equations

One-pass theorem II

Finite propagation speed \Rightarrow error controlled by free energy outside large balls at times T_1, T_2 . Integrating between T_1, T_2 gives contradiction; the **bulk** of the integral of $K_2(u(t))$ here comes from exponential ejection mechanism near $(\pm Q, 0)$.



Figure: Possible returning trajectories

→ ∃ >

One-pass theorem III

After integration of virial:

$$\langle w\dot{u}|Au \rangle \Big|_{T_1}^{T_2} = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} [-K_2(u(t)) + \text{error}] dt$$

where T_1 , T_2 are exit, and first re-entry times into *R*-ball. Left-hand side: absolute value

$$\lesssim R + SR^2 \lesssim R$$
 inner radius

were $S \simeq |\log R|$ size of base ($Q \ll R$ outside that ball). Right-hand side: lower bound on $|K_2(u(t))|$ outside δ_* -ball by variational lemma.

Exponentially increasing dynamics gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_1}^{\mathcal{T}_1^*} |\mathcal{K}_2(u(t))| \, dt \gtrsim \delta_* \quad ext{outer radius}$$

where T_1^* exit-time from δ_* -ball

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

One-pass theorem IV

Some further issues:

- For trajectories of type *I*, this argument works; for type *II*, use ejection lemma at minimum point *M*.
- In the K(u(t)) < 0 region the above argument is sufficient, since error can be made small compared to $\kappa(\delta_*)$ by taking Rsmall (and thus S large).
- In the K(u(t)) ≥ 0 case, one has a possible complication due to ∫^T₁ ||∇u(t)||²₂ dt being too small. In that case error becomes a problem (since we have no control over T₂ − T₁).
- Overcome that by showing $\exists \mu_0 > 0$ s.t.: if for some $\mu \in (0, \mu_0]$

$$\|ec{u}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(0,2;\mathcal{H})} \leq M, \quad \int_{0}^{2} \|
abla u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dt \leq \mu^{2}$$

then u exists globally and scatters to 0 as $t \to \pm \infty$, $\|u(t)\|_{L^3_t L^6_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \ll \mu^{1/6}.$ • Nonradial NLKG3: use relativistic energy (Lorentz invariant)

$$E_m(\vec{u})^2 = E(\vec{u})^2 - |P(\vec{u})|^2$$

where $P(\vec{u})$ is the conserved momentum. This works if |E| > |P|, the other case being reduced to Payne-Sattinger. For the orbital stability form of 9-set theorem restrict to normalized solutions, i.e., with $P(\vec{u}) = 0$. Center-stable mflds: Instead of Q, need to work with 6-parameter family of ground states (translated, "boosted"). Q gets squashed by Lorentz contraction. Need a variant of Beceanu's linear dispersive estimates.

• NLS equation: only radial; two modulation parameters for Q: phase, mass $e^{i\alpha^2 t + \gamma} \alpha Q(\alpha x)$. We "mod out" these symmetries (at least for the orbital stability part which does not involve the center-stable manifold); α is controlled by the mass of the solution, for the phase write $u = e^{i\theta}(Q + v)$.

Further results II

• NLS equation: Major difference in the one-pass theorem from NLKG: absence of finite propagation speed. So crucial virial argument is different; no time-dependent cutoffs. K(u(t)) < 0 case (for blowup and one-pass theorem) treated by a variant of the Ogawa-Tsutsumi argument. More difficult to treat $K(u(t)) \ge 0$. Use the following Morawetz identity due to Nakanishi, 1999:

$$\partial_t \left\langle u | \frac{t}{4\lambda} u + i \frac{r}{2\lambda} u_r \right\rangle$$

= $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{\lambda^3} |\nabla M u|^2 - \frac{|u|^4}{4} \left[\frac{2}{\lambda} + \frac{t^2}{\lambda^3} \right] + \frac{15t^4}{4\lambda^7} |u|^2 \right\} dx,$

where $\lambda := \sqrt{t^2 + r^2}$ and $M := e^{i|x|^2/(4t)}$. Right-hand side can be rewritten in terms of $K(u) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_4^4$ and expressions which are integrable in time.

Critical wave equation I

$$\ddot{u} - \Delta u = |u|^{2^* - 2} u, \quad u(t, x) : \mathbb{R}^{1 + d} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad 2^* = \frac{2d}{d - 2} \ (d = 3 \text{ or } 5),$$

Static Aubin, Talenti solutions

$$W_\lambda = T_\lambda W, \quad W(x) = \left[1 + rac{|x|^2}{d(d-2)}
ight]^{1-rac{d}{2}},$$

 T_{λ} is \dot{H}^1 preserving dilation

$$T_{\lambda}\varphi = \lambda^{d/2-1}\varphi(\lambda x)$$

Positive radial solutions of the static equation

$$-\Delta W - |W|^{2^*-2}W = 0$$

Variational structure:

$$J(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} |\varphi|^{2^*} \right] dx$$
$$K(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[|\nabla \varphi|^2 - |\varphi|^{2^*} \right] dx$$

J. Krieger, K. Nakanishi, W. S.

Center Manifolds and Hamiltonian Evolution Equations

Critical wave equation II

Radial $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$, $E(\vec{\varphi}) < J(W) + \varepsilon^2$, outside soliton tube

$$\{\pm \vec{W}_{\lambda} \mid \lambda > 0\} + O(\varepsilon)$$

There exists four open disjoint sets which lead to all combinations of FTB/GE and scattering to 0 as $t \rightarrow \pm I$. NOTE:

- We do not have a complete description of all solutions with energy E(φ) < J(W) + ε².
- We do not know if the center-stable manifold exists in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ (but in 05 Krieger-S. showed that there is such an object in a stronger non-invariant topology).
- Inside the soliton tube there exist blowup solutions, as found by Krieger-S.-Tataru. Duykaerts-Kenig-Merle showed that all type II blowup are of the KST form, as long as energy only slightly above J(Q). So trapping by the soliton tube cannot mean scattering to $\{W_{\lambda}\}$ as it did in the subcritical case.